

STATE OF MICHIGAN
SUPREME COURT

TAMARA FILAS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

-vs-

KEVIN THOMAS CULPERT, AND
EFFICIENT DESIGN, INC., A Michigan
Corporation.

Defendants-Appellees.

Supreme Court No. 151463

Court of Appeals No: 317972

Circuit Court No: 13-000652-NI

TAMARA FILAS Plaintiff-Appellant 6477 Edgewood Rd. Canton, MI 48187 (734) 751-0103 e-mail redacted	MICHAEL C. O'MALLEY (P59108) Attorney for Defendant Efficient Design Vandever Garzia 840 W. Long Lake Rd., Suite 600 Troy, MI 48098 (248) 312-2940 momalley@vgpclaw.com
DREW W. BROADDUS (P64658) Attorney for Defendant Culpert Secrest Wardle 2600 Troy Center Drive, P.O. Box 5025 Troy, MI 48007-5025 (616) 272-7966 dbroaddus@secrestwardle.com	JAMES C. WRIGHT (P67613) Attorney for Defendant Efficient Design Zausmer, Kaufman, August & Caldwell, P.C. 31700 Middlebelt Rd., Suite 150 Farmington Hills, MI 48334 (248) 851-4111 jwright@zkac.com

**PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR FILING
PL-AT'S REPLY TO DF-AE EFFICIENT DESIGN INC.'S ANSWER
TO PL-AT'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL TO THE MSC**

Dated: June 1, 2015

Now comes Plaintiff-Appellant (“PL-AT”), Tamara Filas, requesting an extension of the time to file her Reply to DF-AE Efficient Design Inc.’s 5-12-15 Answer to PL-AT’s Application for Leave to Appeal to the MSC in Case No. 151463, from June 2, 2015, to June 23, 2015.

1. PL-AT is filing *pro se*.
2. DF-AE Efficient Design Inc.’s Answer to PL-AT’s Application for Leave to Appeal to the MSC was served May 12, 2015, making PL-AT’s Reply due June 2, 2015 under MCR 7.212(G).
3. By this motion, the undersigned seeks a 21-day extension of time, from June 2, 2015, to June 23, 2015, due to other legal, employment and personal obligations requiring immediate attention that are preventing her from completing her Reply to DF-AE Efficient Design Inc.’s Answer to PL-AT’s Application for Leave to Appeal within the existing briefing schedule.
4. Preparing a brief is a more difficult and arduous task for anyone who is not an attorney who does not have the staff assistance or the experience of an attorney to prepare unfamiliar legal filings, but for Ms. Filas it is even more difficult due to the extent and volume of the numerous other legal and employment issues she has to attend to related to an auto accident in which she suffered personal injuries.
5. PL-AT’s resources are limited and PL-AT has other lawsuits that require attention. In particular, the undersigned is currently also preparing the following legal documents:
 - a. Shortening her 93-page 5-11-15 Reply to DF-AE Efficient Design Inc.’s Answer to PL-AT’s Application for Leave to Appeal to the MSC, MSC Case No. 151198, to 20 pages, as Ordered on 5-20-15, to be submitted to the Court by 6-10-15.
 - b. Reply to DF-AE Culpert’s Answer to PL-AT’s Application for Leave to Appeal to the MSC, MSC Case No. 151463, due 6-9-15.

- c. Reply to DF-AE Dearborn Heights School District No. 7's Answer to PL-AT's Application for Leave to Appeal to the MSC, MSC Case No. 151429
 - d. Replies to Answers to three Court of Appeals filings in regard to PL-AT's 5-11-15 Application for Leave to Appeal to the COA, COA Case No. 327307.
6. DF-AE's 5-12-15 Answer to PL-AT's Application for Leave to the MSC is nearly 27 pages, and contains numerous false statements in regard to the history of the case, the events occurring at the 3-3-15 hearing on oral arguments, and misrepresentations of Culpert's two Motions to Affirm that were heard by the COA.
 7. Because of the inaccurate history presented by the DF-AE, and the associated irrelevant and/or erroneous arguments, to adequately reply, it will require comprehensive responses from PL-AT, and a thorough analysis of past filings in the case in order to cite where the issues were preserved and argued previously, which is a very time-consuming and tedious process for anyone to accomplish.
 8. The erroneous information or statements made by the DF-AE will remain available in the public record for a considerable length of time, and could cause harm to Plaintiff and/or others who consider them as fact, if Plaintiff does not have enough time to properly address them as untrue and/or rebut them in her Reply Brief.
 9. Plaintiff-Appellant, thus, respectfully seeks this additional time in order to complete and timely file her Reply to DF-AE Efficient Design Inc.'s 5-12-15 Answer to PL-AT's Application for Leave to Appeal to the MSC in this important matter, such that the due date for filing would be extended from June 2, 2015, to June 23, 2015.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff-Appellant, Tamara Filas, respectfully requests this Court to extend by twenty-one (21) days the time for filing her Reply to DF-AE Efficient Design Inc.'s Answer to PL-AT's Application for Leave to Appeal to the MSC, to June 23, 2015.

Thank you for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted on 6-1-15,

signature redacted

Tamara Filas
6477 Edgewood
Canton, MI 48187
(734) 751-0103

e-mail redacted